Preeclampsia: A Risk Factor For Dismal Neonatal Outcome

Saima Murtaza,^{1*} Nasreen Fatima¹

ABSTRACT

Objective To determine the neonatal outcome in pregnant women with preeclampsia.

Study design Observational cohort study.

Place & Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Center (JPMC) Duration of Study 2019 to January 2020.

- Methodology Pregnant women with preeclampsia were enrolled in this study. Similar number of pregnant women without preeclampsia during the study period were also observed longitudinally through the period of gestation. Newborns were followed up to seven days in postnatal period. Informed consent was obtained prior to the study. Detailed history was taken followed by general physical examination and per abdominal examination for assessing maternal and fetal status. Maternal monitoring included blood pressure measurement fourtimes a day, and clinical evaluation of symptoms twice daily, as well as according to the patient's condition, till delivery. Newborns were followed up to seven days post-delivery. Data entered in to the predesigned form. The data were analyzed by using SPSS version 20. Mean and standard deviation were calculated for quantities variables. Frequencies and percentages were computed for qualitative variables. Chi square and relative risk (RR) were calculated, and RR>1 was considered as significant.
- *Results* A total 156 pregnant women, 78 in each group (preeclampsia and non-preeclampsia) fulfilling the criteria were enrolled. Relative risk of fetal distress was 1.3 times more likely in women with preeclampsia as compared to those without it, with significant association (p=0.004), while in small for gestational age and neonatal deaths groups the relative risk were 1.2 and 1.7 times more in exposed as compared to non-exposed women but with non-significant associations (p=0.395 and 0.090) respectively.
- *Conclusion* The relative risk of fetal distress was found significant in pregnant women with preeclampsia, however the incidence of small for gestational age and neonatal death were high in preeclampsia group but was no statistically significant.
- *Key words* Neonatal outcome, Preeclampsia, Morbidity, Mortality, Pregnancy, Risk factors.

INTRODUCTION:

Preeclampsia complicates 2-8% of pregnancies.¹ Women with preeclampsia are at high risk for

¹ Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology JPMC, Karachi

Correspondence:

Dr. Saima Murtaza^{1*} Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Center Karachi Email: drnasreenf@gmail.com abruption placenta, acute renal failure, cerebral hemorrhage, disseminated intravascular coagulation, pulmonary edema and circulatory collapse.² Family history of hypertension, extremes of reproductive age, first pregnancy, diabetes mellitus, renal diseases, hypertension prior to pregnancy, black race and obesity are some of the predisposing factors for preeclampsia.^{3,4}

Women 40-years of age and above are more than five times likely to die of preeclampsia than those between 20-24 years of age.⁵ Preeclampsia occurs

only in the presence of the placenta and resolution begins with its removal. Even though the mechanism behind these features are unknown, shallow endovascular cytotrophoblast invasion in the spiral arteries, an exaggerated inflammatory response and inappropriate endothelial cell activity are key features in the pathogenesis of preeclampsia. This leads to maladaptation of spiral vessels, which interfere with normal villous development. In some cases, compensation can occur but in other poor villous development results in placental insufficiency.⁶ It is also proposed that preeclampsia is a disorder secondary to decreased placental perfusion interacting with maternal constitutional factors that results in oxidative stress.⁷ However, the current knowledge does not adequately explain the occurrence of preeclampsia so it is difficult to determine which of the women will progress.

Preeclampsia accounts for more than 40% of premature deliveries and substantially increases the risk of low birth weight and small for gestational age births.⁸ The rate of fetal complications depends mainly on gestational age at the time of delivery. Fetal morbidity is caused by intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR), small for gestational age (SGA) fetuses, placental abruption and preterm births. The incidence of perintal and neonatal deaths is increased as well.⁸⁻¹⁰ This study was conducted to determine the effect of preeclampsia on neonatal outcome among pregnant women at a tertiary care hospital.

METHODOLOGY:

This observational cohort study was conducted in the Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre Karachi, from July 2019 to January 2020. A total of Total 156 pregnant women, 78 in each group as exposed (with risk factor preeclampsia) and non-exposed (without risk factor) were enrolled by non probability consecutive sampling after informed consent. Women between 20 - 40 years of age of 34 - 39 weeks of gestation, irrespective of parity were included. Women with chronic hypertension without proteinuria, gestational or transient hypertension without proteinuria, connective tissue / auto immune disorders, diabetes mellitus, cardiac disease, respiratory diseases, epilepsy, renal diseases, obstetric complications like bad obstetric history, multiple gestation, preterm premature rupture of membranes (PPROM), placenta previa and fetuses with congenital anomalies, were excluded.

Preeclampsia was defined by systolic blood pressure >160 or diastolic blood pressure > 110 and

proteinuria > 5g on two occasion at least 6 hours apart in a 24-hours period. Neonatal outcome such as fetal distress was defined as abnormal heart rate for example fetal tachycardia > 160 bpm or fetal bradycardia < 110 bpm or reduced / absent beat to beat variability or recurrent late deceleration or variable deceleration (assessed on auscultation with stethoscope). Presence of all of the above signs was considered as fetal distress. Small for gestational age was defined as baby's weight was $< 10^{th}$ percentile at birth or < 2500 gm measured by weighing machine. Neonatal death was considered when the baby died within 7-days of life. After detailed history, complete general physical examination and per abdominal examination were done for maternal and fetal status. Gestational age was determined by means of the last menstrual period, obstetrical ultrasonography or both.

In pregnant women with preeclampsia betamethasone was given to enhance fetal lung maturity. The dose was 12 mg intramuscular, two doses 24-hours apart. Antihypertensive drugs were administered to keep the systolic blood pressure at 130-150 mmHg and the diastolic blood pressure at 80-100mmHg. The oral antihypertensive agents were given in a stepwise manner (methyldopa 500mg QID, nifedipine 20 mg TID and atenolol 50mg BD). In resistant cases of hypertension nitroglycerine drip (20 ug/kg/h) was used to control severe hypertension. A full blood count, renal function tests, liver function tests, urine routine examination, fundoscopy and coagulation profile were obtained. Fetal condition at admission was assessed by means of ultrasonography. Maternal monitoring, including blood pressure measurements every four hours was done and clinical evaluation of symptoms twice daily or according to the patient's condition till delivery. Women were observed and followed till seven days post-delivery to observe the neonatal deaths and the information was entered on predesigned form.

Data were entered into SPSS version 20. Mean and standard deviation were calculated for quantitative variables like age, parity, weight and gestational age. Frequency and percentage were calculated for mode of delivery, residence and neonatal outcomes i.e. small for gestational age, fetal distress and neonatal death. Chi square test result and relative risk were determined to assess the association between neonatal outcomes and preeclampsia among exposed and non-exposed group. Relative risk (RR) of > 1 was considered as significant. Confounders were controlled through stratification of age, parity, gestational age, followed by Chisquare test using p < 0.05 as significant.

RESULTS:

The mean of age of the women with preeclampsia and without it was 29.2±6.2 year with Confidence Interval (CI) 28.21 - 30.18 and 29.6±6.5 year with CI 28.57 - 30.62 respectively. Similarly, the mean gestational age in same groups was 36.5±1.6 weeks with C1 36.24 - 36.75 and 36.5±1.6 weeks with C1 36.24 - 36.75 respectively. Mean weight of women in preeclampsia group and that without the risk factor was 66.5±12.0 kg with C1 64.60 - 68.39 and 65.2±9.6 kg with C1 63.68 - 66.71 respectively. Mean parity in women with preeclampsia group was 2.2±1.1 with C1 2.02 - 2.37 and in other group 2.1±1.2 with C1 1.91 - 2.28. In group wise distribution of modes of delivery, 33 (42.3%) cesarean sections and 45 (57.7%) vaginal deliveries were done in women with preeclampsia while 36 (46.1%) cesarean sections and 42 (53.9%) vaginal deliveries in other group.

Relative risk of fetal distress was 1.3 times more likely in women with preeclampsia as compared to those without it (p=0.004), while in small for gestational age and neonatal deaths groups the relative risks were 1.2 and 1.7 times more in preeclampsia group as compared to women without it. However, the association was non-significant (p=0.395 and 0.090 respectively). Details are given in table I. Neonatal outcome in different age groups in women with preeclampsia is given in table II.

DISCUSSION:

Hypertension is an important cause of both maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality in pregnant women. In this study, the frequency of cesarean section was lower in women with preeclampsia. However, in a study by Al Mulhim et al vaginal deliveries were less frequent in women with preeclampsia (69.2%) as compared with healthy controls (86.2%).¹¹ In Bozhinova et al study, normal

delivery was attained with 48.8% and cesarean section in 47.6% of the pregnancies.¹² In our study the mean age in exposed and non exposed groups were noted as 29.2 \pm 6.2 years and 29.6 \pm 6.5 years respectively. Bridwell M et al,¹³ reported the mean age as 27.7 \pm 6.7 year which is almost similar to ours. However, in another study done by Habli M, et al,¹⁴ the mean age was quite less than ours, 21.5 \pm 4.7 years. Others studies reported variable age groups.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ In this study the mean gestational age in exposed and non-exposed group was 36.5 \pm 1.6 weeks and 36.5 \pm 1.6 weeks respectively. In the study of Saadat M et al,¹⁸ the mean gestational was 39 \pm 2 weeks and 37 \pm 2 weeks in patients and control groups respectively.

Perez-Cuevas R et al in their study found that eclampsia substantially increased the risk of delivery of very low birth weight infants (risk difference RD=6.7%), moderate low birth weight infants (RD=14.6%) and preterm gestational age less than 33 weeks (RD=7.1%) compared with women without hypertension.⁸ Retrospective cohort study performed by Xiong et al showed that preeclampsia increased the risk of intrauterine growth restriction and low birth weight babies.¹⁹ Another study reported that preeclampsia was associated with 3.8 fold increased risk for low birth weight babies and women with preeclampsia were 3.6 times more likely to deliver small for gestational age new born as compared with normotensive women.20 These findings are consistent with our study.

This study had few limitation. Firstly, we did not adjust for confounding variables to assess the influence of preeclampsia on neonatal mortality and complications. Secondly the severity of preeclampsia was not considered as variable. Management of infants should be performed at tertiary centers with ICU facility. A team approach with obstetrician and specialized pediatrician is essential to improve

| Table I: Comparison of Neonatal Outcome between Groups (n=156) | | | | | | |
|--|-----|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------|--|
| Neonatal Outcome | | Group | | Relative Risk | p value | |
| | | With Preeclampsia | Without Preeclampsia | | | |
| Fetal Distress | Yes | 38 (24.4%) | 29 (18.6%) | 1 310 0 149 | 0 149 | |
| | No | 40 (25.6%) | 49 (31.4%) | 1.010 | 01110 | |
| Small Gestational Age | Yes | 28 (17.9%) | 23 (14.7%) | 1.217 | 0 395 | |
| | No | 50 (32.1%) | 55 (35.3%) | | 0.000 | |
| Neonatal Deaths | Yes | 22 (14.1%) | 13 (8.3%) | 1.692 | 0.090 | |
| | No | 56 (35.9%) | 65 (41.7%) | | | |

| Table II: Neonatal Outcome In Different Age Groups In Women With Preeclampsia | | | | |
|---|---------------|------------|--|--|
| Age Group | 20 – 30 Years | >30 Years | | |
| Fetal Distress (n %) | 23 (27.4%) | 15 (20.8%) | | |
| Small For Gestational Age (n %) | 16 (19%) | 12 (16.7%) | | |
| Neonatal Deaths (n %) | 13 (15.5%) | 9 (12.5%) | | |

neonatal outcome.

CONCLUSION:

A variable relative risk and statistical association were found between preeclampsia and neonatal outcome.

REFERENCES:

- 1. British Colombia Reproductive Care Program. Hypertension in pregnancy: Obstetric Guide 11.2000;1-12.
- Duley L. The global impact of pre-eclampsia and eclampsia. Semin Perinatol.
 2 0 0 9 ; 3 3 : 1 3 0 - 7 . doi: 10.1053/j.semperi.2009.02.010.
- Hall DR, Swart R, Grové D, Odendaal HJ. The influence of maternal age on pregnancy outcome in patients with early onset, severe pre-eclampsia. J Obstet Gynaecol. 2 0 0 1 ; 2 1 : 2 4 6 - 9 . d o i : 10.1080/01443610120046332.
- Trogstad LI, Eskild A, Magnus P, Samuelsen So, Nesheim BI. Changing paternity and time since last pregnancy, the impact on pre-eclampsia risk. Int J Epidemiol. 2001;30:1323-4
- 5. Conde-Agudelo A, Belizan JM. Risk factors for pre-eclampsia in a large cohort of Latin American and Caribbean women. BJOG. 2000;107:75-83.
- 6. Conde-Agudelo A, Belizan JM. Maternal morbidity and mortality associated with interpregnancy interval: Cross sectional study. BMJ. 2000;321:1255-9.
- Walker JJ. Pre-eclampsia. Lancet 2000;356:1260-5.
- Perez-cuevas R, Fraser W, Reyes H, Reinharz D, Daftari A, Heinz CS, et al. Critical pathways for management of pre-

eclampsia and sever pre-eclampsia in institutionalized health care setting. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2003;3(1):6. doi: 10.1186/1471-2393-3-6.

- 9. Jelin AC, Kaimal AJ, Kuzniewicz M, Little SE, Cheng YW, Caughey AB. Preterm preeclampsia: 32 to 37 weeks gestation. J Matern Fetal Neonat Med. 2012;25:2198-201.
- 10. Su YY, Zhang JZ, Wang F. Risk factors and adverse outcomes of preeclampsia: a tertiary care centre based study in China. Biomed Res. 2017;28:1262-5.
- 11. Al-Mulhim AA, Abu-Heija A, Al-Jamma F, El-Harith el-HA. Pre-eclampsia: maternal risk factors and perinatal outcome. Fetal Diagn Ther. 2003;18:275-80.
- 12. Bozhinova S, Poroshanova V, Sampat D. Delivery and perinatal aspects of pregnant women with pre-eclampsia-eclampsia. Akush Ginekol (Sofia). 2004;43:3-9.
- Bridwell M, Handzel E, Hynes M, Jean-Louis R, Fitter D, Hogue C, et al. Hypertensive disorders in pregnancy and maternal and neonatal outcomes in Haiti: the importance of surveillance and data collection, BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2019;19(1):208. doi: 10.1186/s12884-019-2361-0.
- 14. Habli M, Leine RJ, Qian C, Sibai B. Neonatal outcomes in pregnancies with preeclampsia of gestational hypertension and in normotensive pregnancies that delivered at 35,36, or 37 weeks of gestation. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2007;197:406-el.
- 15. Dagdeviren H, Cankaya A, Cengiz H, Tombul T, Kanawati A, Caypinar SS, et al. Maternal and neonatal outcomes of women with preeclampsia and eclampsia at a tertiary care center. Med Bull Haseki. 2015;53:143-6. DOI:10.4274/haseki.2067.

- Gofton EN, Capewell V, Natale R, Gratton RJ. Obstetrical intervention rates and maternal and neonatal outcomes of women with gestational hypertension. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2001;185:798-803.
- Turgut A, Demirci O, Demirci E, Uludogan M. Comparison of maternal and neonatal outcomes in women with HELLP syndrome and women with severe preeclampsia without HELLP syndrome. J Prenatal Med. 2010;4:51-8.
- Saadat M, Nejad SM, Habibi G, Sheikhvatan M. Maternal and neonatal outcomes in women with pre-eclampsia. Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol. 2007;46:255-9.
- Xiong X, Demianczuk NN, Saunders LD, Wang FL, Fraser WD. Impact of preeclampsia and gestational hypertension on birth weight by gestational age. Am J Epidemiol. 2002 ;155:203-9. doi: 10.1093/aje/155.3.203.
- 20. Magee LA, Ornstein MP, van Dadelzen P, Management of hypertension in pregnancy, BMJ. 1999;318:1332-6.

Received for publication: 03-11-2021

Accepted after revision: 13-03-2022

Author's Contributions:

Saima Murtaza: Concept, data collection, analysis of data and manuscript writing.

Nasreen Fatima: Manuscript writing.

Both authors approved final version of the manuscript.

Ethical statement: Institution review board permission was obtained prior to the study and informad consent taken.

Competing interest:

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

Source of Funding: None

How to cite this article:

Murtaza S, Fatima N. Preeclampsia: A risk factor for dismal neonatal outcome. J Surg Pakistan. 2022;27 (1):16-20. Doi:10.21699/jsp.27.1.5.

This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits any use, share-copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format, adapt, remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, as long as the authors and the original source are properly cited.